

**PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q78603

Takeshi SHIBATA

Allowed: September 21, 2006

Appln. No.: 10/720,667

Group Art Unit: 1774

Confirmation No.: 6224

Examiner: THOMPSON, CAMIE S.

Filed: November 25, 2003

For: NETWORK CONDUCTOR AND ITS PRODUCTION METHOD AND USE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

After an initial telephone interview to arrange for a formal telephone interview, a telephone interview was conducted with the Examiner regarding this application on September 7, 2006.

The **Interview Summary** provided by the Examiner sets forth the substance of that interview, i.e., the Examiner was questioned as to whether the “microcracks in a pattern of branching lines” avoided the rejection under 35 USC §112.

The Examiner said that it was believed that the language did avoid the rejection.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

/Peter D. Olexy/
Peter D. Olexy
Registration No. 24,513

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 24, 2006